

A dilemma of nonequivalent definitions of differential operators in noncommutative geometry

G. Sardanashvily

Department of Theoretical Physics, Moscow State University, 117234 Moscow, RUSSIA
e-mail: gennadi.sardanashvily@unicam.it

Abstract. In contrast with differential operators on modules over commutative and graded commutative rings, there is no satisfactory notion of a differential operator in noncommutative geometry.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a commutative ring and $\mathcal{A}$ a $\mathcal{K}$ -ring (a unital algebra with $\mathbf{1} \neq 0$). Let P and Q be $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. We address the notion of a $\mathcal{K}$ -linear Q -valued differential operator on P . If a ring $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative, there is the conventional definition (Definition 1, Section 2) of a differential operator on $\mathcal{A}$ -modules (Grothendieck [9], Krasil'shchik et al [14] and Giachetta et al [10]) (see Koszul [13], Akman [1] and Akman et al [2] for the equivalent ones). This definition is straightforwardly extended to modules over graded commutative rings (Definition 5, Section 3), i.e., supergeometry (Giachetta et al [10]). However, there are several nonequivalent definitions of differential operators in noncommutative geometry (Dubois-Violette et al [7], Borowiec [4], Lunts et al [17] and Giachetta et al [10]). We show that none of them is satisfactory at all (Section 5).

The main problem is that derivations of a noncommutative ring $\mathcal{A}$ fail to form an $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Let us recall that, given a $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and an $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule Q , a Q -valued derivation of $\mathcal{A}$ is defined as a $\mathcal{K}$ -module morphism $u : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Q$ which obeys the Leibniz rule

$$u(ab) = u(a)b + au(b), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (1)$$

However, there is another convention such that the Leibniz rule takes the form $u(ab) = bu(a) + au(b)$ (Lang [16]). A graded commutative ring is a particular noncommutative ring. However, the definition of a derivation of a graded commutative algebra (Bartocci et al [3]) also differs from the expression (1). Therefore, supergeometry is not a particular case of noncommutative geometry.

The Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus over a $\mathcal{K}$ -ring $\mathcal{A}$ provides an important example of differential operators on modules over $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is the $\mathbb{R}$ -ring of smooth real functions on a smooth manifold X , this differential calculus is the de Rham complex of exterior forms on X .

Throughout the paper, a two-sided $\mathcal{A}$ -module P is called the $(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A})$ -bimodule. A $(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A})$ -bimodule is said to be a $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule if it is central over the center $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$, i.e., $ap = pa$, $a \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$, $p \in P$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative, a $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule is simply called an $\mathcal{A}$ -module.

2. Differential operators on modules over a commutative ring

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative $\mathcal{K}$ -ring. Given $\mathcal{A}$ -modules P and Q , the $\mathcal{K}$ -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ of $\mathcal{K}$ -module homomorphisms $\Phi : P \rightarrow Q$ is endowed with the two different $\mathcal{A}$ -module structures

$$(a\Phi)(p) := a\Phi(p), \quad (\Phi \bullet a)(p) := \Phi(ap), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad p \in P. \quad (2)$$

We further refer to the second one as the $\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ -module structure. Let us put

$$\delta_a \Phi := a\Phi - \Phi \bullet a, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (3)$$

Definition 1. *An element $\Delta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ is called a k -order Q -valued differential operator on P if*

$$\delta_{a_0} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{a_k} \Delta = 0$$

for any tuple of $k+1$ elements $a_0, \dots, a_k$ of $\mathcal{A}$.

The set $\text{Diff}_k(P, Q)$ of these operators inherits the $\mathcal{A}$ - and $\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ -module structures (2). In particular, zero order differential operators obey the condition

$$\delta_a \Delta(p) = a\Delta(p) - \Delta(ap) = 0, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad p \in P,$$

and, consequently, they are $\mathcal{A}$ -module morphisms $P \rightarrow Q$. First order differential operators Δ satisfy the condition

$$\delta_b \circ \delta_a \Delta(p) = ba\Delta(p) - b\Delta(ap) - a\Delta(bp) + \Delta(abp) = 0, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (4)$$

Let $P = \mathcal{A}$. Any zero order Q -valued differential operator Δ on $\mathcal{A}$ is defined by its value $\Delta(\mathbf{1})$. There is an isomorphism $\text{Diff}_0(\mathcal{A}, Q) = Q$ via the association

$$Q \ni q \mapsto \Delta_q \in \text{Diff}_0(\mathcal{A}, Q),$$

where the zero order differential operator Δ_q is given by the equality $\Delta_q(\mathbf{1}) = q$. A first order Q -valued differential operator Δ on $\mathcal{A}$ fulfils the condition

$$\Delta(ab) = b\Delta(a) + a\Delta(b) - ba\Delta(\mathbf{1}), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}.$$

It is called a Q -valued derivation of $\mathcal{A}$ if $\Delta(\mathbf{1}) = 0$, i.e., the Leibniz rule (1) holds. If Δ is a derivation of $\mathcal{A}$, then $a\Delta$ is well for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, derivations of $\mathcal{A}$ form a left $\mathcal{A}$ -module $\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{A}, Q)$. Any first order differential operator on $\mathcal{A}$ falls into the sum

$$\Delta(a) = a\Delta(\mathbf{1}) + [\Delta(a) - a\Delta(\mathbf{1})]$$

of the zero order differential operator $a\Delta(\mathbf{1})$ and the derivation $\Delta(a) - a\Delta(\mathbf{1})$. Thus, there is the $\mathcal{A}$ -module decomposition

$$\text{Diff}_1(\mathcal{A}, Q) = Q \oplus \mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{A}, Q). \quad (5)$$

Let $P = Q = \mathcal{A}$. The module $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ of derivations of $\mathcal{A}$ is also a Lie algebra over the ring $\mathcal{K}$ with respect to the Lie bracket

$$u \circ u' - u' \circ u, \quad u, u' \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}.$$

Accordingly, the decomposition (5) takes the form

$$\text{Diff}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}.$$

For instance, let $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(X)$ be an $\mathbb{R}$ -ring of smooth real functions on smooth manifold X . Let P be a projective $C^\infty(X)$ -modules of finite rank. In accordance with the well-known Serre–Swan theorem, P is isomorphic to the module $\Gamma(E)$ of global sections of some vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$. In this case, Definition 1 restarts familiar theory of linear differential operators on vector bundles over a manifold X . Let $Y \rightarrow X$ be an arbitrary bundle over X . The theory of (nonlinear) differential operators on Y is conventionally formulated in terms of jet manifolds $J^k Y$ of sections of $Y \rightarrow X$ (Palais [18], Krasil'shchik et al [14], Bryant et al [5] and Giachetta et al [11]). Accordingly, there is the following equivalent reformulation of Definition 1 in terms of the jet modules $\mathcal{J}^k(P)$ of a module P (Krasil'shchik et al [14] and Giachetta et al [10]). If $P = \Gamma(E)$, these jet modules are isomorphic to modules of sections of the jet bundles $J^k E \rightarrow X$.

Given an $\mathcal{A}$ -module P , let us consider the tensor product $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} P$ of $\mathcal{K}$ -modules $\mathcal{A}$ and P . We put

$$\delta^b(a \otimes p) := (ba) \otimes p - a \otimes (bp), \quad p \in P, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Let μ^{k+1} be the submodule of $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} P$ generated by elements $\delta^{b_0} \circ \dots \circ \delta^{b_k}(a \otimes p)$.

Definition 2. *The k -order jet module $\mathcal{J}^k(P)$ of a module P is the quotient of the $\mathcal{K}$ -module $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} P$ by μ^{k+1} . The symbol $c \otimes_k p$ stands for its elements.*

In particular, the first order jet module $\mathcal{J}^1(P)$ consists of elements $c \otimes_1 p$ modulo the relations

$$\delta^a \circ \delta^b(\mathbf{1} \otimes_1 p) = ab \otimes_1 p - b \otimes_1 (ap) - a \otimes_1 (bp) + \mathbf{1} \otimes_1 (abp) = 0.$$

The $\mathcal{K}$ -module $\mathcal{J}^k(P)$ is endowed with the $\mathcal{A}$ - and $\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ -module structures

$$b(a \otimes_k p) := ba \otimes_k p, \quad b \bullet (a \otimes_k p) := a \otimes_k (bp).$$

There exists the module morphism

$$J^k : P \ni p \mapsto \mathbf{1} \otimes_k p \in \mathcal{J}^k(P) \quad (6)$$

of the $\mathcal{A}$ -module P to the $\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ -module $\mathcal{J}^k(P)$ such that, seen as an $\mathcal{A}$ -module, $\mathcal{J}^k(P)$ is generated by elements $J^k p$, $p \in P$. The following holds (Krasil'shchik et al [14]).

Theorem 3. *Any k -order Q -valued differential operator Δ of on an $\mathcal{A}$ -module P uniquely factorizes*

$$\Delta : P \xrightarrow{J^k} \mathcal{J}^k(P) \longrightarrow Q$$

through the morphism J^k (6) and some $\mathcal{A}$ -module homomorphism $\mathfrak{f}^\Delta : \mathcal{J}^k(P) \rightarrow Q$.

Theorem 3 shows that $\mathcal{J}^k(P)$ is the representative object of the functor $Q \rightarrow \text{Diff}_1 k(P, Q)$. Its proof is based on the fact that the morphism J^k (6) is a k -order $\mathcal{J}^k(P)$ -valued differential operator on P . Let us denote $J : P \ni p \mapsto \mathbf{1} \otimes p \in \mathcal{A} \otimes P$. Then, for any $\mathfrak{f} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A} \otimes P, Q)$, we obtain

$$\delta_{b_0} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{b_0}(\mathfrak{f} \circ J)(p) = \mathfrak{f}(\delta^{b_0} \circ \dots \circ \delta^{b_0}(\mathbf{1} \otimes p)). \quad (7)$$

The correspondence $\Delta \mapsto \mathfrak{f}^\Delta$ defines an $\mathcal{A}$ -module isomorphism

$$\text{Diff}_k(P, Q) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{J}^k(P), Q). \quad (8)$$

This isomorphism leads to the above mentioned equivalent reformulation of Definition 1.

Definition 4. *A k -order Q -valued differential operator on a module P is an $\mathcal{A}$ -module morphism of the k -order jet module $\mathcal{J}^k(P)$ of P to Q .*

As was mentioned above, the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus over a commutative ring $\mathcal{A}$ provides an important example of differential operators over $\mathcal{A}$ (Giachetta et al [10]). It is a subcomplex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\text{in}} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{O}^1[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}] \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{O}^2[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}] \xrightarrow{d} \dots \quad (9)$$

of the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex $C^*[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]$ of the Lie $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ (Fuks [8]) where $\mathcal{O}^k[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}]$ are modules of $\mathcal{A}$ -multilinear (but not all $\mathcal{K}$ -multilinear) skew-symmetric maps

$$\phi^k : \times^k \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \quad (10)$$

and d is the Chevalley–Eilenberg coboundary operator

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi^k(u_0, \dots, u_k) &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i u_i(\phi^k(u_0, \dots, \widehat{u_i}, \dots, u_k)) + \\ &\quad \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \phi^k([u_i, u_j], u_0, \dots, \widehat{u_i}, \dots, \widehat{u_j}, \dots, u_k), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where the caret $\widehat{}$ denotes omission. A direct verification shows that if ϕ^k is an $\mathcal{A}$ -multilinear map, so is $d\phi^k$. The graded module $\mathcal{O}^*[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}]$ is provided with the structure of a graded $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra with respect to the product

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \wedge \phi'(u_1, \dots, u_{r+s}) &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_r; j_1 < \dots < j_s} \text{sgn}_{i_1 \dots i_r j_1 \dots j_s} \phi(u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_r}) \phi'(u_{j_1}, \dots, u_{j_s}), \\ \phi &\in \mathcal{O}^r[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}], \quad \phi' \in \mathcal{O}^s[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}], \quad u_k \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $\text{sgn} \dots$ is the sign of a permutation. This product obeys the relations

$$d(\phi \wedge \phi') = d(\phi) \wedge \phi' + (-1)^{|\phi|} \phi \wedge d(\phi'), \quad \phi, \phi' \in \mathcal{O}^*[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}], \quad (13)$$

$$\phi \wedge \phi' = (-1)^{|\phi||\phi'|} \phi' \wedge \phi. \quad (14)$$

Using the first one, one can easily justify that the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential d obeys the relations (4) and, thus, it is a first order $\mathcal{O}^{k+1}[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}]$ -valued differential operator on $\mathcal{O}^k[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, we have

$$(da)(u) = u(a), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad u \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}. \quad (15)$$

It follows that $d(\mathbf{1}) = 0$ and d is a $\mathcal{O}^1[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}]$ -valued derivation of $\mathcal{O}^0[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}] = \mathcal{A}$.

For instance, the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus over the $\mathbb{R}$ -ring $C^\infty(X)$ is the de Rham complex of exterior forms on a smooth manifold X where d is the exterior differential.

3. Differential operators in supergeometry

As was mentioned above, Definition 1 is straightforwardly extended to linear differential operators on modules over a graded commutative ring.

Unless otherwise stated, by a graded structure throughout this Section is meant a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded structure, and the symbol $[\cdot]$ stands for the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded parity. Recall that an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called graded if it is endowed with a grading automorphism γ such that $\gamma^2 = \text{Id}$. A graded algebra falls into the direct sum $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1$ of two $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{A}_1$ of even and odd elements such that $\gamma(a) = (-1)^{[a]}a$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and

$$[aa'] = ([a] + [a']) \bmod 2, \quad a, a' \in \mathcal{A}.$$

If $\mathcal{A}$ is a graded ring, then $[\mathbf{1}] = 0$. A graded algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called graded commutative if

$$aa' = (-1)^{[a][a']}a'a.$$

Of course, a commutative ring is a graded commutative ring where $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0$. Given a graded algebra $\mathcal{A}$, a left graded $\mathcal{A}$ -module Q is a left $\mathcal{A}$ -module such that

$$[aq] = ([a] + [q]) \bmod 2.$$

A graded module Q is split into the direct sum $Q = Q_0 \oplus Q_1$ of two $\mathcal{A}_0$ -modules Q_0 and Q_1 of even and odd elements. Similarly, right graded modules are defined. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a graded commutative ring, a graded $\mathcal{A}$ -module can be provided with a graded $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule structure by letting

$$qa := (-1)^{[a][q]}aq, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad q \in Q.$$

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a commutative ring and $\mathcal{A}$ a graded commutative $\mathcal{K}$ -ring. Let P and Q be graded $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. The graded $\mathcal{K}$ -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ of graded $\mathcal{K}$ -module homomorphisms $\Phi : P \rightarrow Q$ can be endowed with the two graded $\mathcal{A}$ -module structures

$$(a\Phi)(p) := a\Phi(p), \quad (\Phi \bullet a)(p) := \Phi(ap), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad p \in P, \quad (16)$$

called $\mathcal{A}$ - and $\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ -module structures, respectively. Let us put

$$\delta_a \Phi := a\Phi - (-1)^{[a][\Phi]} \Phi \bullet a, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (17)$$

The following generalizes Definition 1 (Giachetta et al [10]).

Definition 5. *An element $\Delta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ is said to be a k -order Q -valued graded differential operator on P if*

$$\delta_{a_0} \circ \dots \circ \delta_{a_k} \Delta = 0$$

for any tuple of $k+1$ elements $a_0, \dots, a_k$ of $\mathcal{A}$.

The set $\text{Diff}_k(P, Q)$ of these operators inherits the graded module structures (16). In particular, zero order graded differential operators obey the condition

$$\delta_a \Delta(p) = a\Delta(p) - (-1)^{[a][\Delta]} \Delta(ap) = 0, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad p \in P,$$

i.e., they are graded $\mathcal{A}$ -module morphisms $P \rightarrow Q$. First order graded differential operator Δ satisfy the condition

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_a \circ \delta_b \Delta(p) &= ab\Delta(p) - (-1)^{([b]+[\Delta])[a]} b\Delta(ap) - (-1)^{[b][\Delta]} a\Delta(bp) + \\ &(-1)^{[b][\Delta]+([\Delta]+[b])[a]} \Delta(abp) = 0, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad p \in P. \end{aligned}$$

Let $P = \mathcal{A}$. Any zero order Q -valued graded differential operator Δ on $\mathcal{A}$ is defined by its value $\Delta(\mathbf{1})$. Then there is a graded $\mathcal{A}$ -module isomorphism $\text{Diff}_0(\mathcal{A}, Q) = Q$ via the association

$$Q \ni q \mapsto \Delta_q \in \text{Diff}_0(\mathcal{A}, Q),$$

where Δ_q is given by the equality $\Delta_q(\mathbf{1}) = q$. A first order Q -valued graded differential operator Δ on $\mathcal{A}$ fulfils the condition

$$\Delta(ab) = \Delta(a)b + (-1)^{[a][\Delta]} a\Delta(b) - (-1)^{([b]+[a])[\Delta]} ab\Delta(\mathbf{1}), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}.$$

It is called a Q -valued graded derivation of $\mathcal{A}$ if $\Delta(\mathbf{1}) = 0$, i.e., the graded Leibniz rule

$$\Delta(ab) = \Delta(a)b + (-1)^{[a][\Delta]} a\Delta(b), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (18)$$

holds (cf. the Leibniz rule (1)). One obtains at once that any first order graded differential operator on $\mathcal{A}$ falls into the sum

$$\Delta(a) = \Delta(\mathbf{1})a + [\Delta(a) - \Delta(\mathbf{1})a]$$

of a zero order graded differential operator $\Delta(\mathbf{1})a$ and a graded derivation $\Delta(a) - \Delta(\mathbf{1})a$. If Δ is a graded derivation of $\mathcal{A}$, then $a\Delta$ is so for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, graded derivations of $\mathcal{A}$ constitute a graded $\mathcal{A}$ -module $\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{A}, Q)$.

Let $P = Q = \mathcal{A}$. The module $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ of graded derivation of $\mathcal{A}$ is also a Lie $\mathcal{K}$ -superalgebra with respect to the superbracket

$$[u, u'] = u \circ u' - (-1)^{[u][u']} u' \circ u, \quad u, u' \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We have the graded $\mathcal{A}$ -module decomposition

$$\text{Diff}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ is a Lie $\mathcal{K}$ -superalgebra, let us consider the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex $C^*[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{A}]$ of $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ where the graded commutative ring $\mathcal{A}$ is regarded as a $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ -module (Fuks [8]). It reads

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta^0} C^1[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{A}] \xrightarrow{\delta^1} \cdots C^k[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{A}] \xrightarrow{\delta^k} \cdots \quad (19)$$

where $C^k[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{A}]$ are $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ -modules of $\mathcal{K}$ -linear graded morphisms of the graded exterior products $\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ of the $\mathcal{K}$ -module $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}$. Let us bring homogeneous elements of $\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ into the form

$$\varepsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varepsilon_r \wedge \epsilon_{r+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \epsilon_k, \quad \varepsilon_i \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}_0, \quad \epsilon_j \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}_1.$$

Then the coboundary operators of the complex (19) are given by the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{r+s-1} c(\varepsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varepsilon_r \wedge \epsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \epsilon_s) &= \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{i-1} \varepsilon_i c(\varepsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\varepsilon}_i \cdots \wedge \varepsilon_r \wedge \epsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \epsilon_s) + \\ &\sum_{j=1}^s (-1)^r \varepsilon_i c(\varepsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varepsilon_r \wedge \epsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\epsilon}_j \cdots \wedge \epsilon_s) + \\ &\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (-1)^{i+j} c([\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j] \wedge \varepsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\varepsilon}_i \cdots \widehat{\varepsilon}_j \cdots \wedge \varepsilon_r \wedge \epsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \epsilon_s) + \\ &\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} c([\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j] \wedge \varepsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varepsilon_r \wedge \epsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\epsilon}_i \cdots \widehat{\epsilon}_j \cdots \wedge \epsilon_s) + \\ &\sum_{1 \leq i < r, 1 \leq j \leq s} (-1)^{i+r+1} c([\varepsilon_i, \epsilon_j] \wedge \varepsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\varepsilon}_i \cdots \wedge \varepsilon_r \wedge \epsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\epsilon}_j \cdots \wedge \epsilon_s). \end{aligned}$$

The subcomplex $\mathcal{O}^*[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}]$ of the complex (19) of $\mathcal{A}$ -linear morphisms is the above mentioned graded Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus over a graded commutative $\mathcal{K}$ -ring $\mathcal{A}$. Its coboundary operators δ^k are first order $\mathcal{O}^*k + 1[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}]$ -valued graded differential operators on $\mathcal{O}^*k[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}]$ (Giachetta et al [10]).

One may hope that Definition 4 is also extended to modules over graded commutative rings. One has introduced jets of these modules (Giachetta et al [10]), but the corresponding generalization of Theorem 3 has not been studied.

4. Noncommutative differential calculus

Turning to differential operators over a noncommutative ring, let us start with a few examples of noncommutative differential calculus.

In a general setting, a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra Ω^* over a commutative ring $\mathcal{K}$ is defined as a direct sum

$$\Omega^* = \bigoplus_k \Omega^k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

of $\mathcal{K}$ -modules Ω^k , provided with an associative multiplication law $\alpha \cdot \beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^*$, such that $\alpha \cdot \beta \in \Omega^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}$, where $|\alpha|$ denotes the degree of an element $\alpha \in \Omega^{|\alpha|}$. It follows that Ω^0 is a (noncommutative) $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$, and Ω^* is an $(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A})$ -algebra. A graded algebra Ω^* is called a differential graded algebra or a differential calculus over $\mathcal{A} = \Omega^0$ if it is a cochain complex of $\mathcal{K}$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega^1 \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots \Omega^k \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots \quad (20)$$

with respect to a coboundary operator δ which obeys the graded Leibniz rule

$$\delta(\alpha \cdot \beta) = \delta\alpha \cdot \beta + (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha \cdot \delta\beta.$$

In particular, $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Omega^1$ is a Ω^1 -valued derivation of a $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$. One considers the minimal differential graded subalgebra $\Omega^*\mathcal{A}$ of a differential calculus Ω^* which contains $\mathcal{A}$. It is called the minimal differential calculus over $\mathcal{A}$. Seen as an $(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A})$ -algebra, $\Omega^*\mathcal{A}$ is generated by the elements δa , $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and consists of monomials $\alpha = a_0 \delta a_1 \dots \delta a_k$, $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$, whose product obeys the juxtaposition rule

$$(a_0 \delta a_1) \cdot (b_0 \delta b_1) = a_0 \delta(a_1 b_0) \cdot \delta b_1 - a_0 a_1 \delta b_0 \cdot \delta b_1.$$

Let us generalize the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus over a commutative ring in Section 2 to a noncommutative $\mathcal{K}$ -ring $\mathcal{A}$. For this purpose, let us consider derivations $u \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{A}$. They obey the Leibniz rule (1). The set of these derivations $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ is both a $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -bimodule and a Lie $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra with respect to the Lie bracket $[u, u']$, $u, u' \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$. It is readily observed that derivations preserve the center $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$.

Let us consider the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex $C^*[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ with coefficients in the ring $\mathcal{A}$, regarded as a $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ -module. This complex contains a subcomplex $\mathcal{O}^*[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}]$ of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -multilinear skew-symmetric maps with respect to the Chevalley–Eilenberg coboundary operator d (11). Its terms $\mathcal{O}^k[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}]$ are $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodules. In particular,

$$\mathcal{O}^1[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}] = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}). \quad (21)$$

The graded module $\mathcal{O}^*[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}]$ is provided with the product (12) which obeys the relation (13) and makes $\mathcal{O}^*[\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}]$ into a differential graded algebra. One can think of its elements as being noncommutative generalization of exterior forms on a manifold. However, it should

be noted that, if $\mathcal{A}$ is not commutative, there is nothing like the graded commutativity (14) in general. The minimal Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus $\mathcal{O}^*\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathcal{A}$ consists of the monomials $a_0 da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge da_k$, $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$, whose product $\wedge$ (12) obeys the juxtaposition rule

$$(a_0 da_1) \wedge (b_0 db_1) = a_0 d(a_1 b_0) \wedge db_1 - a_0 a_1 db_0 \wedge db_1, \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathcal{A}.$$

For instance, it follows from the product (12) that, if $a, a' \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$, then

$$da \wedge da' = -da' \wedge da, \quad ada' = (da')a. \quad (22)$$

Proposition 6. *There is the duality relation*

$$\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{O}^1\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}). \quad (23)$$

Proof. It follows from the definition (11) of the Chevalley–Eilenberg coboundary operator that

$$(da)(u) = u(a), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad u \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}. \quad (24)$$

This equality yields the morphism

$$\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A} \ni u \mapsto \phi_u \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{O}^1\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}), \quad \phi_u(da) := u(a), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

This morphism is a monomorphism because the module $\mathcal{O}^1\mathcal{A}$ is generated by elements da , $a \in \mathcal{A}$. At the same time, any element $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{O}^1\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ induces the derivation $u_\phi(a) := \phi(da)$ of $\mathcal{A}$. Thus, there is a morphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{O}^1\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A},$$

which is a monomorphism since $\mathcal{O}^1\mathcal{A}$ is generated by elements da , $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

A different differential calculus over a noncommutative ring is often used in noncommutative geometry (Connes [6] and Landi [15]). Let us consider the tensor product $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ -modules. It is brought into an $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule with respect to the multiplication

$$b(a \otimes a')c := (ba) \otimes (a'c), \quad a, a', b, c \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Let us consider its submodule $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ generated by the elements $\mathbf{1} \otimes a - a \otimes \mathbf{1}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$. It is readily observed that

$$d : \mathcal{A} \ni a \mapsto \mathbf{1} \otimes a - a \otimes \mathbf{1} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{A}) \quad (25)$$

is a $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ -valued derivation of $\mathcal{A}$. Thus, $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ is an $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule generated by the elements da , $a \in \mathcal{A}$, such that the relation

$$(da)b = d(ab) - adb, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (26)$$

holds. Let us consider the tensor algebra $\Omega^*(\mathcal{A})$ of the $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$. It consists of the monomials $a_0 da_1 \cdots da_k$, $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$, whose product obeys the juxtaposition rule

$$(a_0 da_1)(b_0 db_1) = a_0 d(a_1 b_0) db_1 - a_0 a_1 db_0 b_1, \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathcal{A},$$

because of the relation (26). The operator d (25) is extended to $\Omega^*(\mathcal{A})$ by the law

$$d(a_0 da_1 \cdots da_k) := da_0 da_1 \cdots da_k,$$

that makes $\Omega^*(\mathcal{A})$ into a differential graded algebra.

Of course, $\Omega^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a minimal differential calculus. One calls it the universal differential calculus over $\mathcal{A}$ because of the following property (Landi [15]). Let P be an $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. Any P -valued derivation Δ of $\mathcal{A}$ factorizes as $\Delta = \mathfrak{f}^\Delta \circ d$ through some $(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A})$ -module homomorphism $\mathfrak{f}^\Delta : \Omega^1(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow P$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{A}'$ be another $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra and (Ω'^*, δ') its differential calculus over a $\mathcal{K}$ -ring $\mathcal{A}'$. Any homomorphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ is uniquely extended to a morphism of differential graded algebras $\rho^* : \Omega^*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Omega'^*$ such that $\rho^{k+1} \circ d = \delta' \circ \rho^k$. Indeed, this morphism factorizes through the morphism of $\Omega^*(\mathcal{A})$ to the minimal differential calculus in Ω'^* which sends $da \rightarrow \delta' \rho(a)$. Elements of the universal differential calculus $\Omega^*(\mathcal{A})$ are called universal forms. However, they can not be regarded as the noncommutative generalization of exterior forms because, in contrast with the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus, the monomials da , $a \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$, of the universal differential calculus do not satisfy the relations (22).

It seems natural to regard derivations of a noncommutative $\mathcal{K}$ -ring $\mathcal{A}$ and the Chevalley–Eilenberg coboundary operator d (11) as particular differential operators in noncommutative geometry.

5. Differential operators in noncommutative geometry

As was mentioned above, Definition 1 provides a standard notion of differential operators on modules over a commutative ring. However, there exist its different generalizations to modules over a noncommutative ring.

Let P and Q be $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodules over a noncommutative $\mathcal{K}$ -ring $\mathcal{A}$. The $\mathcal{K}$ -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ of $\mathcal{K}$ -linear homomorphisms $\Phi : P \rightarrow Q$ can be provided with the left $\mathcal{A}$ - and $\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ -module structures (2) and the similar right module structures

$$(\Phi a)(p) := \Phi(p)a, \quad (a \bullet \Phi)(p) := \Phi(pa), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad p \in P. \quad (27)$$

For the sake of convenience, we will refer to the module structures (2) and (27) as the left and right $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}^\bullet$ structures, respectively. Let us put

$$\bar{\delta}_a \Phi := \Phi a - a \bullet \Phi, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \Phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q). \quad (28)$$

It is readily observed that

$$\delta_a \circ \bar{\delta}_b = \bar{\delta}_b \circ \delta_a, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}.$$

The left $\mathcal{A}$ -module homomorphisms $\Delta : P \rightarrow Q$ obey the conditions $\delta_a \Delta = 0$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and, consequently, they can be regarded as left zero order Q -valued differential operators on P . Similarly, right zero order differential operators are defined.

Utilizing the condition (4) as a definition of a first order differential operator in noncommutative geometry, one however meets difficulties. If $P = \mathcal{A}$ and $\Delta(\mathbf{1}) = 0$, the condition (4) does not lead to the Leibniz rule (1), i.e., derivations of the $\mathcal{K}$ -ring $\mathcal{A}$ are not first order differential operators. In order to overcome these difficulties, one can replace the condition (4) with the following one (Dubois-Violette et al [7]).

Definition 7. *An element $\Delta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ is called a first order differential operator on a bimodule P over a noncommutative ring $\mathcal{A}$ if it obeys the condition*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_a \circ \bar{\delta}_b \Delta &= \bar{\delta}_b \circ \delta_a \Delta = 0, & a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \\ a\Delta(p)b - a\Delta(pb) - \Delta(ap)b + \Delta(apb) &= 0, & p \in P. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

First order Q -valued differential operators on P make up a $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -module $\text{Diff}_1(P, Q)$.

If P is a commutative bimodule over a commutative ring $\mathcal{A}$, then $\delta_a = \bar{\delta}_a$ and Definition 7 comes to Definition 1 for first order differential operators.

In particular, let $P = \mathcal{A}$. Any left or right zero order Q -valued differential operator Δ is uniquely defined by its value $\Delta(\mathbf{1})$. As a consequence, there are left and right $\mathcal{A}$ -module isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} Q \ni q &\mapsto \Delta_q^R \in \text{Diff}_0^R(\mathcal{A}, Q), & \Delta_q^R(a) &= qa, & a \in \mathcal{A}, \\ Q \ni q &\mapsto \Delta_q^L \in \text{Diff}_0^L(\mathcal{A}, Q), & \Delta_q^L(a) &= aq. \end{aligned}$$

A first order Q -valued differential operator Δ on $\mathcal{A}$ fulfils the condition

$$\Delta(ab) = \Delta(a)b + a\Delta(b) - a\Delta(\mathbf{1})b. \quad (30)$$

It is a derivation of $\mathcal{A}$ if $\Delta(\mathbf{1}) = 0$. One obtains at once that any first order differential operator on $\mathcal{A}$ is split into the sums

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(a) &= a\Delta(\mathbf{1}) + [\Delta(a) - a\Delta(\mathbf{1})], \\ \Delta(a) &= \Delta(\mathbf{1})a + [\Delta(a) - \Delta(\mathbf{1})a] \end{aligned}$$

of the derivations $\Delta(a) - a\Delta(\mathbf{1})$ or $\Delta(a) - \Delta(\mathbf{1})a$ and the left or right zero order differential operators $a\Delta(\mathbf{1})$ and $\Delta(\mathbf{1})a$, respectively. If u is a Q -valued derivation of $\mathcal{A}$, then au (2) and ua (27) are so for any $a \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Hence, Q -valued derivations of $\mathcal{A}$ constitute a $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -module $\mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{A}, Q)$. There are two $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -module decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Diff}_1(\mathcal{A}, Q) &= \text{Diff}_0^L(\mathcal{A}, Q) \oplus \mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{A}, Q), \\ \text{Diff}_1(\mathcal{A}, Q) &= \text{Diff}_0^R(\mathcal{A}, Q) \oplus \mathfrak{d}(\mathcal{A}, Q). \end{aligned}$$

They differ from each other in the inner derivations $a \mapsto aq - qa$.

Let $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{R}}(P, Q)$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{L}}(P, Q)$ be the modules of right and left $\mathcal{A}$ -module homomorphisms of P to Q , respectively. They are provided with the left and right $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}^{\bullet}$ -module structures (2) and (27), respectively.

Proposition 8. *An element $\Delta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ is a first order Q -valued differential operator on P in accordance with Definition 7 iff it obeys the condition*

$$\Delta(apb) = (\vec{\partial} a)(p)b + a\Delta(p)b + a(\overleftarrow{\partial} b)(p), \quad p \in P, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (31)$$

where $\vec{\partial}$ and $\overleftarrow{\partial}$ are $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{R}}(P, Q)$ - and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{L}}(P, Q)$ -valued derivations of $\mathcal{A}$, respectively. Namely,

$$(\vec{\partial} a)(pb) = (\vec{\partial} a)(p)b, \quad (\overleftarrow{\partial} b)(ap) = a(\overleftarrow{\partial} b)(p).$$

Proof. It is easily verified that, if Δ obeys the equalities (31), it also satisfies the equalities (29). Conversely, let Δ be a first order Q -valued differential operator on P in accordance with Definition 7. One can bring the condition (29) into the form

$$\Delta(apb) = [\Delta(ap) - a\Delta(p)]b + a\Delta(p)b + a[\Delta(pb) - \Delta(p)b],$$

and introduce the derivations

$$(\vec{\partial} a)(p) := \Delta(ap) - a\Delta(p), \quad (\overleftarrow{\partial} b)(p) := \Delta(pb) - \Delta(p)b.$$

For instance, let P be a differential calculus over a $\mathcal{K}$ -ring $\mathcal{A}$ provided with an associative multiplication $\circ$ and a coboundary operator d . Then d exemplifies a P -valued first order differential operator on P by Definition 7. It obeys the condition (31) which reads

$$d(apb) = (da \circ p)b + a(dp)b + a((-1)^{|p|}p \circ db).$$

For instance, let $P = \mathcal{O}^*\mathcal{A}$ be the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential calculus over $\mathcal{A}$. In view of the relations (21) and (23), one can think of derivations $u \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ as being vector fields in noncommutative geometry. A problem is that $\mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ is not an $\mathcal{A}$ -module. One can overcome this difficulty as follows (Borowiec [4]).

Given a noncommutative $\mathcal{K}$ -ring $\mathcal{A}$ and an $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule Q , let d be a Q -valued derivation of $\mathcal{A}$. One can think of Q as being a first degree term of a differential calculus over $\mathcal{A}$. Let Q_{R}^* be the right $\mathcal{A}$ -dual of Q . It is an $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule:

$$(bu)(q) := bu(q), \quad (ub)(q) := u(bq), \quad b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad q \in Q.$$

One can associate to each element $u \in Q_{\text{R}}^*$ the $\mathcal{K}$ -module morphism

$$\hat{u} : \mathcal{A} \ni a \mapsto u(da) \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (32)$$

This morphism obeys the relations

$$\widehat{(bu)}(a) = bu(da), \quad \widehat{u}(ba) = \widehat{u}(b)a + \widehat{(ub)}(a). \quad (33)$$

One calls $(Q_R^*, u \mapsto \widehat{u})$ the $\mathcal{A}$ -right Cartan pair, and regards $\widehat{u}$ (32) as an $\mathcal{A}$ -valued first order differential operator on $\mathcal{A}$ (Borowiec [4]). Let us note that $\widehat{u}$ (32) need not be a derivation of $\mathcal{A}$ and fails to satisfy Definition 7, unless u belongs to the two-sided $\mathcal{A}$ -dual $Q^* \subset Q_R^*$ of Q . Morphisms $\widehat{u}$ (32) are called into play in order to describe (left) vector fields in noncommutative geometry (Borowiec [4] and Jara et al [12]).

In particular, if $Q = \mathcal{O}^1\mathcal{A}$, then au for any $u \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is a left noncommutative vector field in accordance with the relation (15).

Similarly, the $\mathcal{A}$ -left Cartan pair is defined. For instance, ua for any $u \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is a right noncommutative vector field.

If $\mathcal{A}$ -valued derivations $u_1, \dots, u_r$ of a noncommutative $\mathcal{K}$ -ring $\mathcal{A}$ or the above mentioned noncommutative vector fields $\widehat{u}_1, \dots, \widehat{u}_r$ on $\mathcal{A}$ are regarded as first order differential operators on $\mathcal{A}$, it seems natural to think of their compositions $u_1 \circ \dots \circ u_r$ or $\widehat{u}_1 \circ \dots \circ \widehat{u}_r$ as being particular higher order differential operators on $\mathcal{A}$. Let us turn to the general notion of a differential operator on $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodules.

By analogy with Definition 1, one may try to generalize Definition 7 by means of the maps δ_a (3) and $\bar{\delta}_a$ (28). A problem lies in the fact that, if $P = Q = \mathcal{A}$, the compositions $\delta_a \circ \delta_b$ and $\bar{\delta}_a \circ \bar{\delta}_b$ do not imply the Leibniz rule and, as a consequence, compositions of derivations of $\mathcal{A}$ fail to be differential operators.

This problem can be solved if P and Q are regarded as left $\mathcal{A}$ -modules (Lunts et al [17]). Let us consider the $\mathcal{K}$ -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ provided with the left $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}^\bullet$ module structure (2). We denote by $\mathcal{Z}_0$ its center, i.e., $\delta_a \Phi = 0$ for all $\Phi \in \mathcal{Z}_0$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{I}_0 = \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_0$ be the $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}^\bullet$ submodule of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ generated by $\mathcal{Z}_0$. Let us consider:

- (i) the quotient $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)/\mathcal{I}_0$,
- (ii) its center $\mathcal{Z}_1$,
- (iii) the $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}^\bullet$ submodule $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_1$ of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)/\mathcal{I}_0$ generated by $\mathcal{Z}_1$,
- (iv) the $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}^\bullet$ submodule $\mathcal{I}_1$ of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ given by the relation $\mathcal{I}_1/\mathcal{I}_0 = \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_1$.

Then we define the $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}^\bullet$ submodules $\mathcal{I}_r$, $r = 2, \dots$, of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ by induction as $\mathcal{I}_r/\mathcal{I}_{r-1} = \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_r$, where $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_r$ is the $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}^\bullet$ module generated by the center $\mathcal{Z}_r$ of the quotient $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)/\mathcal{I}_{r-1}$.

Definition 9. *Elements of the submodule $\mathcal{I}_r$ of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ are said to be left r -order Q -valued differential operators on an $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule P (Lunts et al [17]).*

Proposition 10. *An element $\Delta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ is a differential operator of order r in accordance with Definition 9 iff it is a finite sum*

$$\Delta(p) = b_i \Phi^i(p) + \Delta_{r-1}(p), \quad b_i \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (34)$$

where Δ_{r-1} and $\delta_a \Phi^i$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ are $(r-1)$ -order differential operators if $r > 0$, and they vanish if $r = 0$.

Proof. If $r = 0$, the statement is a straightforward corollary of Definition 9. Let $r > 0$. The representatives Φ_r of elements of $\mathcal{Z}_r$ obey the relation

$$\delta_c \Phi_r = \Delta'_{r-1}, \quad c \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (35)$$

where Δ'_{r-1} is an $(r-1)$ -order differential operator. Then representatives $\overline{\Phi}_r$ of elements of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_r$ take the form

$$\overline{\Phi}_r(p) = \sum_i c'_i \Phi^i(c_i p) + \Delta''_{r-1}(p), \quad c_i, c'_i \in \mathcal{A},$$

where Φ^i satisfy the relation (35) and Δ''_{r-1} is an $(r-1)$ -order differential operator. Due to the relation (35), we obtain

$$\overline{\Phi}_r(p) = b_i \Phi^i(p) + \Delta'''_{r-1}(p), \quad b_i = c_i c'_i, \quad \Delta'''_{r-1} = - \sum_i c'_i \delta_{c_i} \Phi^i + \Delta''_{r-1}. \quad (36)$$

Hence, elements of $\mathcal{I}_r$ modulo elements of $\mathcal{I}_{r-1}$ take the form (36), i.e., they are given by the expression (34). The converse is obvious.

If $\mathcal{A}$ is a commutative ring, Definition 9 comes to Definition 1. Indeed, the expression (34) shows that $\Delta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ is an r -order differential operator iff $\delta_a \Delta$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is a differential operator of order $r-1$.

Proposition 11. *If P and Q are $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodules, the set $\mathcal{I}_r$ of r -order Q -valued differential operators on P is provided with the left and right $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}^\bullet$ module structures.*

Proof. This statement is obviously true for zero order differential operators. Using the expression (34), one can prove it for higher order differential operators by induction.

Let $P = Q = \mathcal{A}$. Any zero order differential operator on $\mathcal{A}$ in accordance with Definition 9 takes the form $a \mapsto cac'$ for some $c, c' \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 12. *Let Δ_1 and Δ_2 be n - and m -order $\mathcal{A}$ -valued differential operators on $\mathcal{A}$, respectively. Then their composition $\Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2$ is an $(n+m)$ -order differential operator.*

Proof. The statement is proved by induction as follows. If $n = 0$ or $m = 0$, the statement issues from the fact that the set of differential operators possesses both left and right $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}^\bullet$ structures. Let us assume that $\Delta \circ \Delta'$ is a differential operator for any k -order differential operators Δ and s -order differential operators Δ' such that $k+s < n+m$. Let us show that $\Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2$ is a differential operator of order $n+m$. Due to the expression (34), it suffices to prove this fact when $\delta_a \Delta_1$ and $\delta_a \Delta_2$ for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ are differential operators of order $n-1$ and $m-1$, respectively. We have the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_a(\Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2)(b) &= a(\Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2)(b) - (\Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2)(ab) = \\ &= \Delta_1(a\Delta_2(b)) + (\delta_a \Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2)(b) - (\Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2)(ab) = \\ &= (\Delta_1 \circ \delta_a \Delta_2)(b) + (\delta_a \Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2)(b), \end{aligned}$$

whose right-hand side, by assumption, is a differential operator of order $n + m - 1$.

Any derivation $u \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$ of a $\mathcal{K}$ -ring $\mathcal{A}$ is a first order differential operator in accordance with Definition 9. Indeed, it is readily observed that

$$(\delta_a u)(b) = au(b) - u(ab) = -u(a)b, \quad b \in \mathcal{A},$$

is a zero order differential operator for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. The compositions au , $u \bullet a$ (2), ua , $a \bullet u$ (27) for any $u \in \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and the compositions of derivations $u_1 \circ \cdots \circ u_r$ are also differential operators on $\mathcal{A}$ in accordance with Definition 9.

At the same time, noncommutative vector fields do not satisfy Definition 9 in general. First order differential operators by Definition 7 also need not obey Definition 9, unless $P = Q = \mathcal{A}$.

By analogy with Definition 9 and Proposition 10, one can define differential operators on right $\mathcal{A}$ -modules as follows.

Definition 13. *Let P and Q be seen as right $\mathcal{A}$ -modules over a noncommutative $\mathcal{K}$ -ring $\mathcal{A}$. An element $\Delta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ is said to be a right zero order Q -valued differential operator on P if it is a finite sum $\Delta = \Phi^i b_i$, $b_i \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\bar{\delta}_a \Phi^i = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. An element $\Delta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ is called a right differential operator of order $r > 0$ on P if it is a finite sum*

$$\Delta(p) = \Phi^i(p)b_i + \Delta_{r-1}(p), \quad b_i \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (37)$$

where Δ_{r-1} and $\bar{\delta}_a \Phi^i$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ are right $(r - 1)$ -order differential operators.

Definition 9 and Definition 13 of left and right differential operators on $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodules are not equivalent, but one can combine them as follows.

Definition 14. *Let P and Q be bimodules over a noncommutative $\mathcal{K}$ -ring $\mathcal{A}$. An element $\Delta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ is a two-sided zero order Q -valued differential operator on P if it is either a left or right zero order differential operator. An element $\Delta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ is said to be a two-sided differential operator of order $r > 0$ on P if it is brought both into the form*

$$\Delta = b_i \Phi^i + \Delta_{r-1}, \quad b_i \in \mathcal{A},$$

and

$$\Delta = \bar{\Phi}^i \bar{b}_i + \bar{\Delta}_{r-1}, \quad \bar{b}_i \in \mathcal{A},$$

where Δ_{r-1} , $\bar{\Delta}_{r-1}$ and $\delta_a \Phi^i$, $\bar{\delta}_a \bar{\Phi}^i$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ are two-sided $(r - 1)$ -order differential operators.

One can think of this definition as a generalization of Definition 7 to higher order differential operators.

It is readily observed that two-sided differential operators described by Definition 14 need not be left or right differential operators, and *vice versa*. At the same time, $\mathcal{A}$ -valued derivations of a $\mathcal{K}$ -ring $\mathcal{A}$ and their compositions obey Definition 14.

In conclusion, note that Definition 4 is also generalized to a certain class of first order differential operators on modules over a noncommutative ring.

The notion of a jet is extended to a module P over a noncommutative ring $\mathcal{A}$. One can follow Definition 2 in order to define the left jets of a two-sided module P over a noncommutative ring $\mathcal{A}$. However, the relation (7) fails to hold if $k > 0$. Therefore, no module of left jets is the representative object of left differential operators. The notion of a right jet of P meets the similar problem. Given an $\mathcal{A}$ -module P , let us consider the tensor product $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} P \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ -modules $\mathcal{A}$ and P . We put

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^b(a \otimes p \otimes c) &:= (ba) \otimes p \otimes c - a \otimes (bp) \otimes c, \\ \bar{\delta}^b(a \otimes p \otimes c) &:= a \otimes p \otimes (cb) - a \otimes (pb) \otimes c, \quad p \in P, \quad a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}.\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by μ^1 the two-sided $\mathcal{A}$ -submodule of $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} P \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{A}$ generated by elements of the type $\bar{\delta}^c \circ \delta^b(\mathbf{1} \otimes p \otimes \mathbf{1})$. One can define the first order two-sided jet module $\mathcal{J}^1(P) = \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} P \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{A} / \mu^1$ of P . Let us denote

$$J : P \ni p \mapsto \mathbf{1} \otimes p \otimes \mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{A} \otimes P \otimes \mathcal{A}.$$

Then the equality

$$\delta_{b_0} \circ \cdots \circ \delta_{b_k}(\mathfrak{f} \circ J)(p) = \mathfrak{f}(\delta^{b_0} \circ \cdots \circ \delta^{b_k}(\mathbf{1} \otimes p \otimes \mathbf{1}))$$

holds for any $\mathfrak{f} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A} \otimes P, Q)$. It follows that $\mathcal{J}^1(P)$ is the representative object of the functor $Q \rightarrow \overline{\text{Diff}}_1(P, Q)$ where the $\mathcal{K}$ -module $\overline{\text{Diff}}_1(P, Q)$ consists of two-sided first order differential operators Δ by Definition 14 which obey the condition $\bar{\delta}^c \circ \delta^b \Delta = 0$ for all $c, b \in \mathcal{A}$. They are the first order differential operators by (Dubois-Violette et al [7]).

References

- [1] F. Akman, On some generalizations of the Batalin–Vilkovisky algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **120** (1997), 105-141.
- [2] F. Akman and L. Ioneski, Higher derived brackets and deformation theory I, E-print arXiv: math.QA/0504541.
- [3] C. Bartocci, U. Bruzzo and D. Hernández Ruipérez, *The Geometry of Supermanifolds*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [4] A. Borowiec, Vector fields and differential operators: Noncommutative case, *Czech. J. Phys.*, **47** (1997), 1093-1100.
- [5] R. Bryant, S. Chern, R. Gardner, H. Goldschmidt, P. Griffiths, *Exterior Differential Systems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.

- [6] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, New York, 1994.
- [7] M. Dubois-Violette and T. Masson, On the first-order operators on bimodules, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **37** (1996), 467-474.
- [8] D. Fuks, *Cohomology of Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Consultants Bureau, New York, 1986.
- [9] A. Grothendieck, Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique IV, Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes études*, **32** (1967).
- [10] G. Giachetta, L. Mangiarotti and G. Sardanashvily, *Geometric and Algebraic Topological Methods in Quantum Mechanics*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2005.
- [11] G. Giachetta, L. Mangiarotti and G. Sardanashvily, Lagrangian supersymmetries depending on derivatives. Global analysis and cohomology, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **259** (2005), 103-128.
- [12] P. Jara and D. Llena, Lie bracket of vector fields in noncommutative geometry, *E-print arXiv: math.RA/0306044*.
- [13] J.-L. Koszul, Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie, *Astérisque*, No. Hors. Ser. (1985), 257-171.
- [14] I.Krasil'shchik, V.Lychagin and A.Vinogradov, *Geometry of Jet Spaces and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Gordon and Breach, Glasgow, 1985.
- [15] G. Landi, *An Introduction to Noncommutative Spaces and their Geometries*, Lect. Notes in Physics, New series m: Monographs **51**, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [16] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Addison-Wisley, New York, 1993.
- [17] V. Lunts and A. Rosenberg, Differential operators on noncommutative rings, *Selecta Mathematica, New Series*, **3** (1997), 335-359.
- [18] R. Palais, *Foundations of Global Non-Linear Analysis*, W.A.Benjamin, New York, 1968.